UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/581,482	03/21/2007	Stefan Hofmair	741438-68	3588	
78198 Studebaker & B	7590 03/12/200 Brackett PC	9	EXAMINER		
1890 Preston W Suite 105	hite Drive		CHOI, PETER Y		
Reston, VA 201	191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1794		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/12/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/581,482	HOFMAIR ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PETER Y. CHOI	1794	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be amed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	his action is non-final. vance except for formal mat		erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-24 are subject to restriction and/o	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeyal ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application :	

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Invention Group I, claim(s) 1-16, drawn to a textile label.

Invention Group II, claim(s) 17-24, drawn to a method of manufacturing a textile label.

2. This application also contains claims directed to more than one species of the

generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species Group I

Species I: wherein the additional textile layer consists of an upper label Species II: wherein the additional textile layer consists of part of a garment

If Applicants elect Species I from Species Group I, a further election is required:

Species Group II

Species I: wherein the region of the upper label that protrudes over the base

layer is sewn to a garment

Species II: wherein the region of the upper label that protrudes over the base

layer is bonded to a garment

Art Unit: 1794

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a **single Invention Group, and a single species from <u>each</u> Species Group** to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

3. The inventions and species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the inventions lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Any international application must relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (see MPEP 1850). As demonstrated by the "X" reference on the International Search Report, at least one independent claim of the application does not avoid the prior art. Therefore, the special technical feature of the application is anticipated by or obvious in view of the prior art. Consequently, the invention does not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Art Unit: 1794

4. In the event that claims directed to the product are elected, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined. Therefore, upon the election of Invention Group I, rejoinder will be considered upon indication of allowable subject matter pursuant to MPEP 821.04.

- 5. Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 6. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 7. Should Applicants traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.
- 8. Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention or species, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1794

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PETER Y. CHOI whose telephone number is (571)272-6730. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 08:00 - 15:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Tarazano can be reached on (571) 272-1515. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Peter Y Choi /PYC/ Examiner, Art Unit 1794 /Andrew T Piziali/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794